[redacted]

From: Kate Wheatley [redacted]
Sent: 13 September 2026 10:21
To: Crown Casework
Cc: callum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: FORMAL OBJECTION TO THE PROPOSED MIGRANT ACCOMMODATION AT MOD SITE A, PIDDINGTON, NEAR BICESTER, OXFORDSHIRE

[redacted]

[redacted]

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to formally object to the proposal to use the MOD site at Piddington to accommodate approximately 1,250-3000+ migrants. It appears there has been some level of dishonesty regarding the proposed figure.

I do not understand why everyone you speak to can see this plan is ridiculous, but the Prime Minister and numerous MPs are happy to spend millions of pounds of tax payers money on this site.

Site A is unsuitable to house migrants due to the unsafe fast road it is based on and the inadequate street lighting. Also, there are no pavements and no facilities locally, other than a small village shop in Upper Arncott. Consequently, a substantial number of the proposed residents would inevitably need to travel to the nearest town - Bicester - which is approximately 6 miles away for basic necessities, healthcare, shopping, recreation and other services. Given these men have managed to travel around 3000 miles from their home countries, they will not be deterred from wandering off from Site A.

I'd like to add some statistics for you if I may (seeing as the government haven't included them in their Urgent Crown Order).

Average national crime for a population of 1256 - **90.4** crimes per year.

Average national crime for a population of 3500 - **252** per year.

(72 per 1000)

Common Offences: **Violence and sexual offences account for a national average of about 30 crimes per 1,000 people**...so that's 37.6 violent and sexual crimes for a population of 1256,

Or 105 sexual and violent crimes if the incoming 'population' is 3500.

These are national AVERAGE crime rates.

This is the AVERAGE crime increases we will see.

The above figures stack up when looking at the feedback from Crowborough and Wethersfield, I feel residents in neighbouring villages and towns will suffer from the cultural differences that are clearly causing many issues since opening.

I would be interested to know how the demand on the services listed below will be managed. Tax paying residents already face long waits for GP appointments and it is common knowledge that finding a dentist is near on impossible, together with the requirement for interpreters making appointments even harder to secure. This all needs accurate assessment with input from the service providers in question.

* GP surgeries
* dentists
* pharmacies
* hospitals
* ambulance services
* mental health and welfare services
* police
* fire and rescue services

I respectfully request that the proposal is reconsidered and refused, or that an alternative and more proportionate location is identified.

Yours faithfully

Catherine Wheatley



13/09/2026

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone